



PESHAWAR DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

**OFFICE OF THE DY: DIRECTOR (PROJECTS) DTE: OF PROJECTS
COMMERCIAL COMPLEX PHASE-V, HAYATABAD, PESHAWAR.**

No.24/DD(P)/D(P)/PDA/1672

Dated Peshawar the 21 /01/2026

To

✓ In charge I.T Cell.
Peshawar Development Authority.

Name of work: **FEASIBILITY STUDY, PLANNING & DETAILED ENGINEERING
DESIGN OF RING ROAD (REMAINING PORTION) FROM NASIR BAGH
ROAD TO TAKHTA BAIG (RMT JUNCTION)**

SUBJECT: MINUTES OF PRE-BID MEETING.

Reference: Pre-Bid meeting regarding the subject project held on 20/01/2026.

Enclosed please find here with minutes of the pre-bid meeting for the subject project to be uploading on EPADS for information of prospective bidders, please.



DY: DIRECTOR (PROJECTS)
Directorate of Projects, PDA

Dated /01/2026

Endst No.24/DD(P)/D(P)/PDA/

Copy forwarded for information to:

1. The Chief Engineer, PDA.
2. The Director Projects, PDA.
3. The Director Finance, PDA.
4. PSO to Director General, PDA


DY: DIRECTOR (PROJECTS)
Directorate of Projects, PDA



Major Work: FEASIBILITY STUDY, PLANNING AND DETAILED ENGINEERING DESIGN OF RING ROAD (REMAINING PORTION) FROM NASIR BAGH ROAD TO TAKHTA BAIG (RMT JUNCTION)

Subject: MINUTES OF PRE-BID MEETING HELD ON 20th JANUARY, 2026.

A pre-bid meeting regarding the above-mentioned consultancy assignment was held on 20th January, 2026 at 11:00 AM under the chairmanship of Deputy Director (Projects), Peshawar Development Authority (PDA), in the office of the Director (Projects), PDA. The list of participating firms and officials is attached.

At the outset, the Chair welcomed the participants and provided a comprehensive briefing on the scope of services, Terms of Reference (TORs), and overall objectives of the assignment. It was clarified that the Authority intends to engage a qualified and experienced consultancy firm for carrying out the Feasibility Study and Detailed Engineering Design, aimed at determining the technical, economic, and environmental viability of the project.

The Chair advised the participating firms to ensure compliance with all requirements of the Request for Proposal (RFP) and to submit their bids through the EPAD system within the prescribed timeline. It was emphasized that consultancy firms with sound professional standing and proven experience in similar large-scale infrastructure projects are encouraged to participate.

The participants were further informed that, in view of the keen interest of the Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in the early completion of the scheme, the completion period has been fixed as three (03) months. The successful consultant shall therefore be required to plan and execute the assignment strictly within the stipulated timeframe.

During the question-and-answer session, the following queries were raised, deliberated upon, and decisions were recorded:

1. Experience Criteria for Joint Venture (JV) / Association / Consortium

Query: The participating firms expressed concern that the RFP requires each JV/Association/Consortium partner to individually qualify the experience criteria, which, according to them, contradicts the concept of a Joint Venture. A request was made for relaxation of this condition.

Discussion: The Chair explained that due to the sensitive and strategic nature of the project, the Authority intends to engage consultancy firms possessing adequate and demonstrable experience, ensuring technical competence and reliability.

Decision: It was decided that for specific and similar nature of works, the experience of each JV/Association/Consortium partner shall be evaluated separately, and each partner must independently meet the specific work experience requirements. However, for general experience, the experience criteria was relaxed and experience of all partners shall be considered cumulatively in General Category while the bidder should have to qualify the specific experience individually.

1/2

2. Time Period for Relevant Experience (Last Five Years: January 2021 to January 2025)

Query: The firms requested extension of the experience evaluation period to include projects initiated or completed prior to January 2021.

Discussion: It was clarified that a five-year timeframe is considered sufficient for the execution of feasibility and detailed engineering design assignments, and such assignments are expected to be completed within this period without undue delay.

Decision: The request was not acceded to, in order to ensure efficiency, relevance of experience, and timely completion of the assignment.

3. Clarification Regarding Use of the Term "Shortlisted Consultants"

Observation: A query was raised regarding the mention of the term "shortlisted consultants" under Clause 1(g) (Definitions) of the Instructions to Bidders, which created ambiguity.

Clarification: It was clarified that the procurement process is open to all qualified and eligible firms, and proposals are being invited in accordance with KPPRA Rules, 2014, under Rule 23(b) – Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS).


4. Performance Security

Clarification/Instruction: The successful consultant shall be required to submit a Performance Security equivalent to five percent (05%) of the bid amount, in the form of an irrevocable bank guarantee or Call Deposit Receipt (CDR), valid until the satisfactory completion of the assignment, in accordance with the RFP provisions.

After clarifying different points by the Chair, the meeting ended with a vote of thanks from and to the Chair.

Recommended by:


Deputy Directors (Projects)


Deputy Directors (Projects)

Approved By:


CHIEF ENGINEER, PDA / DIRECTOR (PROJECTS) PDA